EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

UPDATE OF MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2011/12 - 2013/14

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Mike Braley
Relevant Head of Service	Teresa Kristunas
Non-Key Decision	

1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

1.1. To provide information to enable Members to review the current position on the Medium Term Financial Plan 2011/12 – 2013/14 and to make changes to the draft budget proposals.

2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

It is recommended that the Executive Committee

- consider the revenue bids 2011/12 2013/14 as identified in Appendix A and recommend any changes in priority categorisation;
- 2) consider the new capital bids 2011/12 2013/14 at Appendix B and recommend any changes in priority categorisation; and
- 3) request Heads of Service continue to review the budget with the aim of presenting a balanced position to the Executive Committee meeting in February 2011.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 Following approval of the Council priorities officers prepared Business Plans to ensure their services were aligned to these priorities and were to be delivered in an efficient and effective way to give quality provision to the customer. As a result of these plans a number of revenue and capital bids have been identified by Heads of Service to enable services to improve.
- 3.2 An officer review has been undertaken of the bids and they have been classified as "High, Medium or Low" depending on the link to the Council priorities. Within the summary statement at 4.5 only those rated as "High" have been included for funding within the medium term financial plan. These bids are detailed at Appendix A (revenue) and B (capital).

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

- 3.3 In addition a full detailed review of all unavoidable pressures (costs associated with maintaining current delivery) and proposals for income generation have been identified.
- 3.4 To mitigate the impact of the assumed grant cuts an extensive line by line analysis of all expenditure and income was undertaken. This has realised a number of "quick wins" proposed by officers which will deliver savings with no impact on service delivery.
- 3.5 Any additional income currently generated that delivers more than the target revenue has been built into the projections as a revised target to achieve.
- 3.6 The summary position at 4.5 includes the financial impact of the areas reviewed above.

4. KEY ISSUES

- 4.1 On 13th December 2010 the Council received the 2 year provisional grant settlement from the Government. This reflected a significantly greater cut than was previously estimated and has resulted in the 4 year assumption of savings to be made now be realised over 2 years.
- 4.2 Officers have been working towards delivering £1.4m over a 4 year period through delivery of shared service and joint working arrangements together with realising efficiencies and transforming service provision. The increase in the reduction in grant may result in a number of the current plans to share services with Bromsgrove District Council to be brought forward to ensure savings are realised earlier than previously assumed.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

4.3. The revised provisional grant settlement is as follows :

	2011/12 £'000	2012/13 £'000
Original Grant	6,458	6,458
Adjust concessionary Fares & Misc adj	-1,159	-1,159
Revised Grant	5,299	5,299
Provisional Settlement	4,409	3,903
Provisional Reduction	890	1,396
Provisional % Reduction	16.8%	26.34%
Assumed Reduction	496	880
Assumed % Reduction	10.33%	19.92%
Additional Reduction	394	516

In addition to the reduction in Revenue Support Grant the Council has also seen a reduction in Area Based Grant and Planning Delivery Grant.

- 4.4 The current summary position includes:
 - The impact of a reduction in the 2011/12 pay award to 0% this would result in a zero pay across the Council staff. The current Government proposals are to award £250 for every employee earning under £21k. This has not been factored into the current budget position as the officer recommendation is to keep the award at zero for all staff.
 - The funding is allocated to all bids rated as "High" by Corporate Management Team. The impact of these proposals would ensure that the Councils services are delivered in a quality and effective way to our customers. A number of the Capital proposals are funded by Grant or reserve currently available.
 - All savings realised from the shared services implemented between Redditch and Bromsgrove and those resulting from the WETT arrangements.
 - The anticipated savings from the sharing of Human Resources & Organisational Development and Policy, Performance Communications and Equalities service across the Councils

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

- A reduction to the Council Tax in 2011/12 to 0%. The difference of the original assumption of 2.5% increase to be offset by Government Grant allocation over the 4 year period.
- The implementation of Job Evaluation at 3% (£300k) per annum.
- An assumption that the reduction in grant monies for local travel concessions is reinstated.
- 4.5 The revised summary position is shown below. This includes the proposed high pressures only for both revenue and capital.

	2011/12
	£'000
Base cost of General Fund Services	13,713
Pressures – `high bids'	319
Borrowing to fund capital programme `high' bids	89
Savings (quick wins, additional income, shared	
services, review of provisions)	(1,513)
Adjustment re concessionary travel	(954)
Net operating expenditure	11,654
Adj. Concessionary travel	(400)
Area Based Grant	0
Government Grant	(4,409)
Assumed Council Tax @ 0% (offset by 2.5% Gov	
Grant) 2011/12 & 4.45% 2012/13)	(5,900)
Overall Shortfall	945

- 4.6 The Council is to set a balanced budget for 2011/12 2013/14 and therefore will have to utilise general fund balances, approve further savings, increase income or reduce high pressures for the 3 year period. Any additional spending, over and above the pressures identified above, would also need to be funded by additional savings. Officers are continuing the work on the 2012/13-2013/14 at present and the balanced projected position in February.
- 4.7 In March 2010 a number of schemes were proposed and approved for the period 2011/12 2012/13. These schemes are under review by Heads of Service with the aim to reduce these to those of high priority in the delivery of the Councils objectives.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

4.8 <u>Fees and charges</u>

4.8.1 Fees and charges are currently under review with the aim to maximise the revenue generated whilst ensuring the charges are sustainable in the community. A report will be presented to the February Executive meeting to propose the fees and charges to be levied as part of the formal approval of the medium term financial plan.

4.9. <u>General Fund Balances</u>

General Fund Balances at the end of 2009/10 stood at £1.5m. The approved minimum level of balances is £750k. The estimated level of balances at the end of 2010/11 is £1.1 million.

4.10. Provision for Housing Benefit Overpayment Bad Debts

At the close of the 2009/10 financial year a bad debt provision of £410k was created at the request of the Council's external auditor. The actual level debt written off during the year was less than £50k. Officers are currently reviewing the level of the provision based on an analysis of the actual debt outstanding. Any agreed reduction in the provision will be transferred to General Fund balances which could be used to support future budgets.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 None other than those identified in this report.

6. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

6.1 None as a direct result of this budget update.

7. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

7.1 None as a direct result of this budget update. Should a number of the bids be approved in February there are potentially policy changes to ensure their implementation. These will be advised to members if required.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

8. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

8.1 The delivery of a balanced budget demonstrates the Councils ability to fund objectives and priorities within a reasonable level of increase to residents.

9. <u>RISK MANAGEMENT INCLUDING HEALTH & SAFETY</u> <u>CONSIDERATIONS</u>

- 9.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:
- 9.2 Non compliance with the statutory deadlines to set a balanced budget.
- 9.3 No formal consultation undertaken with the public
- 9.4 These risks are being managed as follows:
- 9.5 Key actions and controls to manage these risks include:
 - Detailed timetable in place to manage the budget process with departments and accountancy support
 - Allocation of qualified and professional staff to focus on budget setting accounts
 - Regular updates at Corporate Management Team in relation to budget processes
 - Formal consultation on the budget with the Budget Jury
 - Formal consultation in place with unions and individual employees

10. CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

10.1 The setting of the budget against the Corporate Priorities will ensure that the Council demonstrates to the customer that we have aligned our resources to the key services required.

11. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

11.1 The bids proposed will aim to deliver services to all members of the community in a quality and standard way.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

12. <u>VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS, PROCUREMENT AND ASSET</u> <u>MANAGEMENT</u>

12.1 All funding received and expended by the Council is subject to a robust framework of procurement and value for money to ensure the Council is maximising its resources.

13. CLIMATE CHANGE, CARBON IMPLICATIONS AND BIODIVERSITY

13.1 None as a direct result of this report

14. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

14.1 The proposals contained within the report are subject to formal member decision in relation to the sharing of services and any potential employee implications. Staff are being kept fully informed of member and officer considerations together with the union representatives.

15. GOVERNANCE/PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

15.1 None as a direct result of the budget update.

16. <u>COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS INCLUDING SECTION 17 OF</u> <u>CRIME AND DISORDER ACT 1998</u>

16.1 None as a direct result of the budget update

17. HEALTH INEQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

17.1 None as a direct result of the budget update

18. LESSONS LEARNT

18.1 The budget report is reviewed to ensure the information enables members to make informed and considered decisions on the future budget and financial arrangements of the Council.

19. COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

19.1 The Budget Jury has been involved fully in the budget review and rating of proposed bids and savings. The formal feedback from the Jury will be presented as part of the meeting.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

12TH JANUARY 2011

20. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

Portfolio Holder	Yes
Chief Executive	Yes
Executive Director (S151 Officer)	Yes
Executive Director – Leisure, Cultural,	Yes
Environmental and Community Services	
Executive Director – Planning & Regeneration,	Yes
Regulatory and Housing Services	
Director of Policy, Performance and	Yes
Partnerships	
Head of Service	Yes
Head of Finance & Resources	Yes
Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic	Yes
Services	
Corporate Procurement Team	N/A

21. WARDS AFFECTED

All Wards

- 22. <u>APPENDICES</u> Appendix A – Revenue Bids Appendix B – Capital Bids
- 23. <u>BACKGROUND PAPERS</u> Detailed Budget working papers

AUTHOR OF REPORT

Name: Jayne Pickering / Teresa Kristunas E Mail:j.pickering@bromsgrove.gov.uk Tel:01527-881400